

Lecture 8: MEU / Utilities 2/11/2010

Pieter Abbeel – UC Berkeley Many slides over the course adapted from Dan Klein

Announcements

- W2 is due today (lecture or drop box)
- P2 is out and due on 2/18



Maximum Expected Utility

- · Why should we average utilities? Why not minimax?
- Principle of maximum expected utility: an agent should choose the action which maximizes its expected utility, given its knowledge
- General principle for decision making
- Often taken as the definition of rationality
- We'll see this idea over and over in this course! .
- Let's decompress this definition... Probability --- Expectation --- Utility

Reminder: Probabilities

- A random variable represents an event whose outcome is unknown A probability distribution is an assignment of weights to outcomes
- Example: traffic on freeway?
- Bandom variable: T = amount of traffic
- $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{random variable} \ r = \mbox{anise} \\ \mbox{Outcomes: T in {none, light, heavy}} \\ \mbox{Distribution: P(T=none) = 0.25, P(T=light) = 0.55, P(T=heavy) = 0.20 \end{array}$

Some laws of probability (more later)

- Probabilities are always non-negative
 Probabilities over all possible outcomes sum to one

- As we get more evidence, probabilities may change:
 P(T=heavy) = 0.20, P(T=heavy | Hour=8am) = 0.60
 We'll talk about methods for reasoning and updating probabilities later

What are Probabilities?

- Objectivist / frequentist answer:
 - Averages over repeated experiments
 - · E.g. empirically estimating P(rain) from historical observation
 - · Assertion about how future experiments will go (in the limit)
 - New evidence changes the reference class
 - · Makes one think of inherently random events, like rolling dice

Subjectivist / Bayesian answer:

- Degrees of belief about unobserved variables
- · E.g. an agent's belief that it's raining, given the temperature
- · E.g. pacman's belief that the ghost will turn left, given the state Often learn probabilities from past experiences (more later)
- New evidence updates beliefs (more later)

Uncertainty Everywhere

Not just for games of chance! I'm sick: will I sneeze this minute?

- Email contains "FREE!": is it spam? Tooth hurts: have cavity?
- 60 min enough to get to the airport? Robot rotated wheel three times, how far did it advance?
- Safe to cross street? (Look both ways!)

Sources of uncertainty in random variables:

- Inherently random process (dice, etc) Insufficient or weak evidence
- Ignorance of underlying processes
- Unmodeled variables
- The world's just noisy it doesn't behave according to plan!

Reminder: Expectations We can define function f(X) of a random variable X The expected value of a function is its average value, weighted by the probability distribution over inputs

- Example: How long to get to the airport? Length of driving time as a function of traffic: L(none) = 20, L(light) = 30, L(heavy) = 60
 - What is my expected driving time?
 - Notation: E[L(T)] Remember, P(T) = {none: 0.25, light: 0.5, heavy: 0.25}
 - E[L(T)] = L(none) * P(none) + L(light) * P(light) + L(heavy) * P(heavy)
 - E[L(T)] = (20 * 0.25) + (30 * 0.5) + (60 * 0.25) = 35















Maximum Expected Utility

- Principle of maximum expected utility:
 - A rational agent should choose the action which maximizes its expected utility, given its knowledge
- Questions:
 - Where do utilities come from?
 - How do we know such utilities even exist?
 - Why are we taking expectations of utilities (not, e.g. minimax)?
 - What if our behavior can't be described by utilities?











Utility Scales

- Normalized utilities: u₊ = 1.0, u₋ = 0.0
- Micromorts: one-millionth chance of death, useful for paying to reduce product risks, etc.
- QALYs: quality-adjusted life years, useful for medical decisions involving substantial risk
- Note: behavior is invariant under positive linear transformation

$$U'(x) = k_1 U(x) + k_2$$
 where $k_1 > 0$

• With deterministic prizes only (no lottery choices), only ordinal utility can be determined, i.e., total order on prizes









Example: Insurance	
 Because people ascribe different utilities to different amounts of money, insurance agreements can increase both parties' expected utility 	
You own a car. Your lottery: $L_{\gamma} = [0.8, \$0; 0.2, -\$200]$ i.e., 20% chance of crashing	Insurance company buys risk: $L_i = [0.8, \$50; 0.2, -\$150]$ i.e., $\$50$ revenue + your L_Y
You do not want -\$200! $U_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) = 0.2^*U_{\gamma}(-$200) = -200$ $U_{\gamma}(-$50) = -150$	Insurer is risk-neutral: U(L)=U(EMV(L)) $U_{I}(L_{I}) = U(0.8*50 + 0.2*(-150))$ = U(\$10) > U(\$0)



- Famous example of Allais (1953)
 - A: [0.8,\$4k; 0.2,\$0]
 - B: [1.0,\$3k; 0.0,\$0]
 - C: [0.2,\$4k; 0.8,\$0]
 - D: [0.25,\$3k; 0.75,\$0]
- Most people prefer B > A, C > D
- But if U(\$0) = 0, then
 - B > A ⇒ U(\$3k) > 0.8 U(\$4k) C > D ⇒ 0.8 U(\$4k) > U(\$3k)